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#### Abstract

A solution of the problem of optimal stabilization of rotary motion of a gyrostat whose center of mass moves on an elliptic orbit in a central Newtonian force field is derived. A method of successive approximations for the deter mination of optimal control is established.


The problem of gyrostat stabilization with its center of mass moving on a circular orbit was solved in [1].

1. Let us consider an axisymmetric gyrostat with three flywheels moving in a central Newtonian force field ( $O_{1}$ and 0 are, respectively, the centers of attraction and of the gyrostat center of mass, Fig. 1). We shall investigate the relative motion of the gyrostat without taking into account its effect on the motion of the center of mass which is assumed specified ( a bounded problem).

We use the following coordinate systems: system $o x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ rigidly attached to the gyrostat whose axes coincide with the principal central axes of inertia, with flywheel axes lying on these axes; the inertial system $O_{1} X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}$ with $X_{1} O_{1} X_{2}$ the orbit plane; the Koenig axes $o x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}{ }^{\prime} x_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ whose $o x_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ axis parallel to $o x_{3}$ is the axis of


Fig. 1 symmetry, and axes $o x_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $o x_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ in the plane $x_{1} o x_{2}$. In steady motion axes $o x_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $o x_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ are parallel to axes $O_{1} X_{1}$ and $O_{1} X_{2}$. The spherical system of coordinates $R, \Phi, \Psi$, in which $R$ is the distance between points $O_{1}$ and $o, \Psi$ is the angle between vector $O_{1} o$ and the plane $X_{1} O_{1} X_{2}, \Phi$ is the angle between the $O_{1} X_{1}$-axis and the projection of vector $O_{1} o$ on the plane $X_{1} O_{1} X_{2}$, is related to the inertial coordinate system by formulas

$$
X_{1}=R \cos \Phi \cos \Psi, \quad X_{2}=R \sin \Phi \cos \Psi, \quad X_{3}=R \sin \Psi
$$

These coordinate systems are shown in Fig. 1, where $\varphi_{1}$ is the angle between axes $o x_{1}$ and $o x_{1}{ }^{\prime}$, and $\varphi$ the angle between the plane $X_{3} O_{1} 0$ and the $o x_{1}$-axis.

The principal central moments of inertia of the gyrostat relative to axes $o x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ are denoted by $C_{1}=C_{2}=C$ and $C_{3}$, and the moments of inertia of flywheels by $I_{1}=I_{2}=I$ and $I_{3}$.

We assume that the gyrostat center of mass moves on an elliptic orbit with one of its foci at point $O_{1}$. Motion of the gyrostat center of mass is then defined in spherical coordinates by formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=\frac{p}{1+e \cos \Phi}, \quad \Phi^{*}=\frac{\sqrt{x P}}{p^{2}}(1+e \cos \Phi)^{2} \\
& \Psi \equiv \Psi^{*} \equiv 0, \quad x=\mu M_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P$ is the orbit parameter, $e$ its eccentricity, $\mu$ is the gravitational constant, and $M_{1}$ the mass of the attracting center.

The equations of the gyrostat relative motion admit a uniform rotation at the relative velocity $\omega$ about the symmetry axis $o x_{3}$ normal to the orbit plane; the two flywheels whose axes are in the $x_{1} 0 x_{2}$ plane are immobilized [1,2].

Projections of the body instantaneous angular velocity $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ on axes $o x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ and of $q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}$ on axes $a x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}{ }^{\prime} x_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ are connected by the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=q_{1} \cos \varphi_{1}+q_{2} \sin \varphi_{1}, \quad p_{2}=-q_{1} \sin \varphi_{1}+q_{2} \cos \varphi_{1} \\
& p_{3}=q_{3}+\varphi_{1}^{*}, \varphi_{1}^{*}=\stackrel{\varphi}{\varphi}^{\circ}+\Phi^{\cdot} \beta_{33}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta_{i j}$ are the directional cosines the system of coordinates $o x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}{ }^{\prime} x_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ relative to $O_{1} X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}$.

The gravitational forces are determined by the force function whose approximate expression is of the form $[1,2]$

$$
U=\frac{\varkappa M}{R}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\kappa}{R^{3}}\left(C_{3}-C\right)-\frac{3}{2} \frac{x\left(C_{3}-C\right)}{R^{5}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} X_{i} \beta_{i 3}\right)^{2}
$$

where $M$ is the gyrostat mass.
Equations of the gytostat relative motion in Koenig's axes are of the form [1,2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C q_{1}^{*}+\left(C_{3}-C\right) q_{2} q_{3}+C_{3} \varphi_{1}{ }^{\circ} q_{2}+q_{2} g_{3}-q_{3} g_{2}+g_{1}{ }^{\circ}=M_{x_{1}^{\prime}} \\
& C q_{2}^{*}+\left(C-C_{3}\right) q_{1} q_{3}-C_{3} \varphi_{1}{ }^{\circ} q_{1}+g_{1} q_{3}-g_{3} q_{1}+g_{2}^{*}=M_{x^{\prime}} \\
& C_{3}\left(q_{3}+\varphi_{1}\right)^{\cdot}+q_{1} g_{2}-q_{2} g_{1}+g_{3}^{*}=M_{x_{2}^{\prime}} \\
& g_{1}{ }^{-}+I q_{1}{ }^{*}+\left(g_{2}+I q_{2}\right) \varphi_{i}^{*}=w_{1} \text {, } \\
& g_{2}^{*}+I q_{2}^{*}-\left(g_{1}+I q_{1}\right) \varphi_{1}^{*}=w_{2}, \quad g_{3}^{*}+I_{3}\left(q_{3}+\varphi_{1}\right)^{*}=w_{3} \\
& \beta_{i 1}{ }^{*}+q_{2} \beta_{i 3}-q_{3} \beta_{i 2}=0 \quad(i=1,2,3) \\
& M_{x_{i^{\prime}}}=-\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \beta_{i 3}} \beta_{i 2}, \quad M_{x_{i^{\prime}}}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \beta_{i 3}} \beta_{i 1}, \quad M_{x_{i^{\prime}}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are the kinetic moments of flywheels relative to Koenig axes, $w_{i}$ are control moments, and $M_{x_{i}^{\prime}}$ are moments of gravitational forces about the same axes.

The considered steady solution is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{1}=\omega_{1}+\omega, \quad \Phi^{\cdot}=\omega_{1}  \tag{1.2}\\
& q_{i}=0, \quad \beta_{i j}=0, \quad i \neq j ; \quad \beta_{i i}=1 ; \quad i, \quad j=1,2,3 \\
& g_{1}=g_{2}=0, \quad g_{3}=g_{3}^{c}, \quad w_{1}=w_{2}=0, \quad g_{3}^{\circ}=-C_{3} \omega_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

The equations of motion (1.1) admit the first integrals

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \beta_{i j}^{2}=1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{3} \beta_{1 i} \beta_{2 i}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \beta_{1 i} \beta_{3 i}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \beta_{2 i} \beta_{3 i}=0
$$

and the integrals that define the constancy of projections of the gyrostat kinetic moment on the system axes $O_{1} X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{i}+\left(C q_{1} g_{1}\right) \beta_{i 1}+\left(C q_{2}-g_{2}\right) \beta_{i 2}+\left[C_{3}\left(q_{3}+\varphi_{1}{ }^{\circ}\right)+g_{3}\right] \beta_{i 3}=h_{i} \\
& L_{1}=M R^{2}\left(\Psi^{*} \sin \Phi-\Phi \sin \Psi \cos \Psi \cos \Phi\right) \\
& L_{2}=-M R^{2}\left(\Psi^{\circ} \cos \Phi+\Phi^{\circ} \sin \Psi \cos \Psi \sin \Phi\right) \\
& L_{3}=M R^{2} \Phi^{\circ} \cos ^{2} \Psi \\
& h_{1}^{\circ}=0, \quad h_{2}^{\circ}=0, \quad h_{3}^{\circ}=M \sqrt{x P}+C_{3}\left(\omega_{1}+\omega\right)+g_{3}^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (1.3) for eliminating $g_{i}$ from Eqs. (1.1), for the relative motion of the gyrostat we obtain equations of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (C-I) q_{1}^{\cdot}=-(C-I) q_{2}^{\cdot} \varphi_{1}+\left(q_{3}+\varphi_{1} \cdot\right) \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(h_{i}-L_{i}\right) \beta_{i 2}- \\
& \quad q_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(h_{i}-L_{i}\right) \beta_{i 3}+M_{x_{i}^{\prime}}-w_{1} \\
& (C-I) q_{2^{\prime}}=(C-I) q_{1} \varphi_{1} \cdot- \\
& \quad\left(q_{3}+\varphi_{1}{ }^{\cdot}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(h_{i}-L_{i}\right) \beta_{i 1}+q_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(h_{i}-L_{i}\right) \beta_{i 3}+M_{x_{2}^{\prime}}-w_{2} \\
& \left(C_{3}-I_{3}\right)\left(q_{3}+\varphi_{1}\right)^{\cdot}=q_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(h_{i}-L_{i}\right) \beta_{i 1}-q_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(h_{i}-L_{i}\right) \beta_{i 2}-w_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming that motion (1.2) is unperturbed, we denote perturbations of variables by $\beta_{i j}{ }^{\prime}, q_{i}{ }^{\prime}, h_{i}{ }^{\prime}, w_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{i j}=\beta_{i j}^{\prime}(i \neq j), \quad \beta_{i i}=1+\beta_{i i}{ }^{\prime}, \quad q_{i}=q_{i}^{\prime} \\
& h_{i}=h_{i}^{\prime}(i=1,2), \quad h_{3}=h_{3}{ }^{\circ}-\sqrt{x P} M+h_{3}^{\prime} \\
& w_{i}=w_{i}^{\prime}(i=1,2), \quad w_{3}=-\left(C_{3}-I_{3}\right) \varphi_{1}{ }^{\prime}+w_{3}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Omitting the primes, we write equations of perturbed motion as

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1}^{*}=h_{12} q_{3}-\left(h_{13}+\omega^{*}\right) q_{2}+\omega^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{3} h_{1 i} \beta_{i 2}+  \tag{1.4}\\
& \beta_{13} v \sin 2 \Phi+2 \beta_{23} v \sin ^{2} \Phi+v_{1}+Q_{1} \\
& q_{2}^{*}=\left(h_{13}+\omega^{*}\right) q_{1}-h_{11} q_{3}-\omega^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{3} h_{1 i} \beta_{i 1}- \\
& 2 \beta_{13} v \cos ^{2} \Phi-\beta_{23} v \sin 2 \Phi+v_{2}+Q_{2} \\
& q_{3}{ }^{*}=h_{31} q_{2}-h_{32} q_{1}+v_{3}+Q_{3} \\
& \beta_{i i^{\circ}}=B_{i i}, \quad i=1,2,3 \\
& \beta_{12}{ }^{\circ}=-q_{3}+B_{12}, \quad \beta_{31}=-q_{2}+B_{31}, \quad \beta_{23}{ }^{\circ}=-q_{1}+B_{23} \\
& \beta_{21}{ }^{\circ}=q_{3}+B_{21}, \quad \beta_{18}{ }^{\circ}=q_{2}+B_{13}, \quad \beta_{32}{ }^{\circ}=q_{1}+B_{32} \\
& B_{i 1}=q_{3} \beta_{i 2}-q_{2} \beta_{i 3}, \quad Q_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} h_{1 i} B_{i 1}+U_{1 \beta}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} h_{1 i} B_{i 2}+U_{2 \beta}, \quad Q_{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} h_{3 i} B_{i 3} \\
& h_{1 j}=h_{j} /(C-I), \quad h_{3 j}=h_{j} /\left(C_{3}-I_{3}\right), \quad j=1,2 \\
& h_{13}=\left(h^{\circ}+h_{3}\right) /(C-I), \quad h_{33}=\left(h^{\circ}+h_{3}\right) /\left(C_{3}-I_{3}\right) \\
& \omega^{*}=\omega_{1}+\omega, \quad v=3 / 2 \chi R^{-3}\left(C_{3}-C\right) /(C-I)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v_{i}$ are control moments related to $w_{i}$ by formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (C-I) v_{1}=-w_{1}+\omega^{*} h_{2} \\
& (C-I) v_{2}=-w_{2}-\omega^{*} h_{1}, \quad\left(C_{3}-I_{3}\right) v_{3}=-w_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the order of smallness of $B_{i j}$ relative to $q_{i}$ and $\beta_{i j}$ is not lower than the second. The terms $U_{1 \beta}$ and $U_{2 \beta}$, due to gravitational moments and dependent only on $\beta_{i j}$, vanish when $\beta_{i j}=0$ are also of the second order of smallness.

The problem of optimal stabilization is formulated as follows. We have to determine control $v_{i}$ in the form of functions of variables $q_{i}$ and $\beta_{i j}$ so that the trivial solution of system (1.4) is asymptotically stable with respect to variables $q_{i}$, and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{i j}$ and that the condition of minimum of the integral type functional

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \Omega\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, \beta_{11}, \ldots, \beta_{33}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, \Phi\right) d \Phi
$$

2. To solve the problem of stabilization we investigate the periodic solution of the linear inhomogeneous system of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}^{\bullet}=\mathbf{x} d+A(t) \mathbf{x}+\varphi(t) \tag{2,1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where x is a vector with components $x_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n), d=$ const is a parameter whose magnitude will be defined later, $A(t)$ is an $n \times n$ periodic matrix of period $T$ which satisfies the conditions of the theorem on the existence an uniqueness of solution of the differential equation, and $\varphi(t)=\operatorname{col}\left\{\varphi_{1}(t), \ldots, \varphi_{n}(t)\right\}$ is a periodic vector function of period $T$ which has a bounded derivative.

Let us prove that the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{x}(t)+\varphi(t) / d\|<c / d^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for the periodic solution of that system.
Consider the system

$$
x_{i}^{*}=d x_{i}+\varphi_{i}(t), \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

The periodic solution of the $i$-the equation is of the form

$$
x_{i}(t)=-\frac{\varphi_{i}(t)}{d}+d^{-1}\left(1+e^{d T}\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{T} \varphi_{i}^{\prime}(t \mid \xi) e^{d(T-\xi)} d \xi
$$

We define the norm of $\mathbf{x}(t)$ as

$$
\|\mathrm{x}(t)\|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max _{i}\left|x_{i}(t)\right|
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{x}(t)+\boldsymbol{\varphi}(t) / d\|<c_{1} / d^{2}, c_{1}=\left\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{\cdot}(t)\right\| \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the method of successive approximations for determining the periodic solution of the system, and introduce the small parameter $\varepsilon=1 / d$. The equation of the $k$-th approximation is of the form

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\cdot k}=\mathbf{x}^{k} d+A(t) \mathbf{x}^{k-1}+\varphi(t), \mathbf{x}^{\circ}=\mathbf{x}^{\circ} d+\varphi(t)
$$

We shall show that the sequence $\mathbf{x}^{k}(t)$ converges to $\mathbf{x}(t)$. Denoting $\mathbf{x}^{k}(t)$ -$\mathbf{x}^{k-1}(t)=\mathbf{y}^{k-1}(t)$, for $\mathbf{y}^{k}(t)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{y}^{k}(t)=\left(1-e^{d T}\right)^{-1} \quad A(\boldsymbol{t}+\xi) \mathbf{y}^{k-1}(t \ldots \xi) e^{d}(T-\xi) d \xi  \tag{2.4}\\
& \left\|\mathbf{y}^{h}(\boldsymbol{t})\right\| \leqslant\|A(t)\|\left\|\mathbf{y}^{k-1}(\boldsymbol{t})\right\| / d
\end{align*}
$$

Hence for the convergence of the sequence it is necessary that $\|A(t)\|<d$. For $\left\|y^{\circ}(t)\right\|$ we have the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathrm{y}^{\circ}(t)\right\| \leqslant\|A(t)\|\|\varphi(t)\| / d^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.4) and (2.5) we have for the remainder $\mathbf{x}(t)-\mathbf{x}^{\circ}(t)$ the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\mathrm{x}(t)-\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{e}}(t)\right\| \leqslant\left\|\mathrm{x}(t)-\ldots-\mathrm{x}^{k}(t)+\mathrm{x}^{k}(t)-\ldots-\mathrm{x}^{\circ}(t)\right\| \leqslant  \tag{2.6}\\
& \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\|\mathrm{y}^{k}(t)\right\| \leqslant\left\|\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{o}}(t)\right\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|A(t)\|^{k}}{d^{k}} \leqslant \frac{\|A(t)\| \varphi(t) \|}{d(d-\|A(t)\|)}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.6) and (2.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{x}(t)+\boldsymbol{\varphi}(t) / d\| \leqslant\left(\|A(t)\|\|\boldsymbol{\Psi}(t)\|+\left\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{*}(t)\right\| / d^{2}+O\left(d^{3}\right)\right. \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality ( 2.2 ) has been thus proved. It is possible to show in a similar way the convergence of successive approximations by the substitution of variable $\tau$ of the form $d \tau / d t=\omega_{1}(\tau)$, where $\omega_{1}(\tau)$ a positive periodic function of period $T_{1}$, for the independent variable $t$.
3. Let us consider the linear system (1.4) without terms $Q_{i}$, which has a zero solution. In conformity with [1] we specify the integrand of the minimized functional in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{1}= & F_{1}\left(q_{i}, \Phi\right)+F_{2}\left(\beta_{i j}, \Phi\right)+n \sum_{i=1}^{3} v_{i}^{2}+\Lambda_{1}\left(q_{i}, \beta_{i j}, \Phi\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
F_{1}= & \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} e_{i j}(\Phi) q_{i} q_{j} \\
F_{2}= & (4 n)^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{3}\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} a_{i j}^{(l)} \beta_{i j}\right)^{2}+ \\
& {\left[\omega^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{3} h_{1 i} \beta_{i 1}+\beta_{13} v(1+\cos 2 \Phi)+\nu \beta_{23} \sin 2 \Phi\right] \times } \\
& \left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} a_{i j}^{(2)} \beta_{i j}\right)-\left[\omega^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{3} h_{1 i} \beta_{i 2}+\nu \beta_{13} \sin 2 \Phi+\nu \beta_{23} \times\right. \\
& (1-\cos 2 \Phi)]\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} a_{i j}^{(1)} \beta_{i j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are positive definite quadratic forms with undefined coefficients $e_{i j}(\Phi)$ and $a_{i j}{ }^{(l)}(\Phi)$ at variables $q_{i}$ and $\beta_{i j}$, respectively (the positive definiteness of $F_{2}$ is proved below).

We seek an optimal Liapunov function $V^{\circ}$ of the form [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\circ}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{3}\left[k \beta_{i} j^{2}+2 \beta_{i j} \sum_{l=1}^{3} a_{i j}^{(l)}(\Phi) q_{l}\right]+m \sum_{i=1}^{3} q_{i}{ }^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the basis of theorems in $[3,4]$ we obtain for $V^{\circ}$ the equation in partial derivatives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial \Phi}-\frac{1}{4 n} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial q_{i}}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(H_{i} \frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial q_{i}}+\lambda_{i} q_{i}\right)+ \\
& \sum_{i . j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial \beta_{i j}} B_{i j}+F_{1}\left(q_{i}, \Phi\right)+F_{2}\left(\beta_{i j}, \Phi\right)+\Lambda_{1}\left(q_{i}, \beta_{i j}, \Phi\right)=0 \\
& H_{1}=h_{12} q_{3}-\left(h_{13}+\omega^{*}\right) q_{2}+\omega^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{3} h_{1 i} \beta_{i 2}+\beta_{13} v \sin 2 \Phi+ \\
& \quad 2 \beta_{23} v \sin ^{2} \Phi \\
& H_{2}=\left(h_{13}+\omega^{*}\right) q_{1}-h_{11} q_{3}-\omega^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{9} h_{1 i} \beta_{i 1}-2 \beta_{13} v \cos ^{2} \Phi- \\
& \beta_{23} v \sin 2 \Phi, \quad H_{3}=h_{31} q_{2}-h_{32} q_{1} \\
& \lambda_{1}=\left(\partial V^{\circ} / \partial \beta_{32}-\partial V^{\circ} / \partial \beta_{23}\right), \quad \lambda_{2}=\left(\partial V^{\circ} / \partial \beta_{13}-\partial V^{\circ} / \partial \beta_{31}\right) \\
& \lambda_{3}=\left(\partial V^{\circ} / \partial \beta_{21}-\partial V^{\circ} / \partial \beta_{12}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating to zero the coefficients at like second order terms, we obtain systems of linear differential equations for the determination of $a_{i j}{ }^{(l)}(\Phi)$ and an algebraic system for $e_{i j}$, as functions of parameters $m, n, k$ and $\Phi$. In particular, for $a_{13}{ }^{(l)}$ we have the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d a_{13}^{(1)}}{d \Phi}=a_{13}^{(1)} d-\left(h_{13}+\omega^{*}\right) a_{13}^{(2)}+h_{32} a_{13}^{(3)}-m v \sin 2 \Phi \\
& \frac{d a_{13}^{(2)}}{d \Phi}=a_{13}^{(2)} d+\left(h_{13}+\omega^{*}\right) a_{13}^{(1)}-h_{31} a_{13}^{(3)}-k+2 m v \cos ^{2} \Phi \\
& \frac{d a_{13}^{(3)}}{d \Phi}=a_{13}^{(3)} d-h_{12} a_{13}^{(1)}+h_{11} a_{13}^{(2)} \\
& A(\Phi)=\left\|\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\left(h_{13}+\omega^{*}\right) h_{32} \\
h_{13}+\omega^{*} & 0 & -h_{31} \\
-h_{13} & h_{11} & 0
\end{array}\right\| \\
& \varphi(\Phi)=\operatorname{col}\left\{-m v \sin 2 \Phi,-k+2 m v \cos ^{2} \Phi, 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\quad d=m /(2 n)$.
On the basis of estimate (2.7) we obtain

$$
a_{13}{ }^{(1)} \approx m v \sin 2 \Phi / d, \quad a_{13}{ }^{(2)} \approx\left(k-2 m v \cos ^{2} \Phi\right) / d, \quad a_{13}{ }^{(3)} \approx 0
$$

The remaining $a_{i j}{ }^{(l)}$ are of a similar form. More exact values can be obtained by
using the method of successive approximations described in Sect. 2.
Let us now prove the positive definiteness of ${ }^{\prime} V^{\circ}$ and $F_{2}$. To determine the sign of $F_{2}$ with an accuracy to the first order we pass from the dependent variables $\beta_{i j}$ to the independent Krylov angles in conformity with the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{13} \approx \psi, \quad \beta_{31} \approx-\psi, \quad \beta_{32} \approx \theta, \quad \beta_{23} \approx-\theta \\
& \beta_{12} \approx \beta_{21} \approx \beta_{11} \approx \beta_{22} \approx \beta_{33} \approx 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The expressions for $F_{2}$ in (3.1) and the obtained approximations of $a_{i j}{ }^{(l)}(\Phi)$ yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{2}=n\left\{\theta^{2} F_{2}^{(1)}+\psi^{2} F_{2}^{(2)}-4 v \theta \psi\left(\omega^{*} h_{13}-v\right)\right\}  \tag{3.3}\\
& F_{2}{ }^{(i)}-4 k^{2} / m^{2}-\omega^{* 2} h_{13}{ }^{2}-4 v \gamma_{i}{ }^{2}\left(v-\omega^{*} h_{13}\right) \\
& \gamma_{1}=\sin \Phi, \quad \gamma_{2}=\cos \Phi
\end{align*}
$$

Separating in the expression for $F_{2}$ the complete square, we find that $F_{2}$ is positive definite when

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{2}{ }^{(i)}>0, \quad i=1,2  \tag{3.4}\\
& 4 k^{2} / m^{2}>\omega^{* 2} h_{13}{ }^{2}, \quad 4 k^{2} / m^{2}>\left(\omega^{*} h_{13}-2 v\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us show that the first two inequalities are equivalent to the two second ones. Let $\quad v\left(v-\omega^{*} h_{13}\right)>0$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
4 k^{2} / m^{2}-\omega^{* 2} h_{13}^{2}-4 v\left(v-\omega^{*} h_{13}\right) \gamma_{i}^{2} \geqslant \\
4 k^{2} / m^{2}-\left(\omega^{*} h_{13}-2 v\right)^{2}>0
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e. we obtain the fourth inequality. If $v\left(v-\omega^{*} h_{13}\right)<0$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
4 k^{2} / m^{2}-\omega^{* 2} h_{13}^{2}-4 v\left(v-\omega^{*} h_{13}\right) \gamma_{i}^{2} \geqslant \\
4 k^{2} / m^{2}-\omega^{* 2} h_{13}^{2}>0
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally, for the positive definiteness of $F_{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 k / m>\max _{\Phi \in[0,2 \pi]}\left|\omega^{*} h_{13}\right|  \tag{3.5}\\
& 2 k / m>\max _{\Phi \in[0,2 \pi]}\left|\omega^{*} h_{13}-2 v\right|
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients in form $F_{1}$ are of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{11}=n d^{2}+a_{23}{ }^{(1)}-a_{32}{ }^{(1)}, \quad e_{22}=n d^{2}+a_{31}{ }^{(2)}-a_{13}{ }^{(2)} \\
& e_{33}=n d^{2}+a_{12}{ }^{(3)}-a_{21}{ }^{(3)}, \quad 2 e_{12}=a_{31}{ }^{(1)}-a_{13}{ }^{(1)}-a_{32}{ }^{(2)}+a_{23}{ }^{(2)} \\
& 2 e_{23}=\left(h_{11}-h_{31}\right) m-a_{21} 1^{(2)}+a_{12}{ }^{(2)}-a_{13}{ }^{(3)}+a_{31}(3) \\
& 2 e_{13}=\left(h_{32}-h_{12}\right) m-a_{21}{ }^{(1)}+a_{12}{ }^{(1)}-a_{32}{ }^{(3)}+a_{23}{ }^{(3)}
\end{aligned}
$$

when $d$ is fairly large the forms $V^{\circ}$ and $F_{1}$ are positive definite. When conditions (3.4) are satisfied the system is stable according to the first approximation. We select higher order terms $\Lambda_{1}$ of the form

$$
\Lambda_{1}=-\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial \beta_{i j}} B_{i j}
$$

On the basis of the theorem in [3] the control is of the form

$$
v_{i}=-(2 n)^{-1} \partial V^{\circ} / \partial q_{i}
$$

Let us consider the complete system that defines the gyrostat relative motion. Formula (3.2) derived for function $V^{\circ}$, obviously, solves the problem of stabilization by virtue of the complete system, if the quality criterion is of the form

$$
\Omega^{\circ}=\Omega_{1}-\sum_{i=1}^{3} Q_{i} \frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial q_{i}}
$$

Since the order of supplementary terms is not lower than the third, the fixed sign property of $\Omega_{1}$ is not violated.

Thus the derived control

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{l}=(C-I)\left(d q_{l}+(2 n)^{-1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} a_{i j}^{(l)} \beta_{i j}\right)-(-1)^{l} \omega^{*} h_{3-l} ; \quad l=1,2 \\
& w_{3}=\left(C_{3}-I_{3}\right)\left(d q_{3}+(2 n)^{-1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} a_{i j}^{(3)} \beta_{i j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

ensures optimum stabilization of motion (1.2) when condition (3.5) is satisfied and the integrand is of the form (3.1).

The author thanks S. N. Shimanov, his science tutor, for stating the problem and interest in this work.
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